

LEED Certification Review Report

This report contains the results of the technical review of an application for LEED® certification submitted for the specified project. LEED certification is an official recognition that a project complies with the requirements prescribed within the LEED rating systems as created and maintained by the U.S. Green Building Council® (USGBC®). The LEED certification program is administered by the Green Building Certification Institute (GBCI®).

Crawford House Office Building

Project ID 1000002893

Rating system & version LEED-EB:OM v2009

Project registration date 12/07/2009









O and M Application Decision

CERTIFIED: 40-49, SILVER: 50-59, GOLD: 60-79, PLATINUM: 80+

LEED FOR EXISTING BUILDINGS: OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE (V2009)

ATTEMPTED: 66, DENIED: 1, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 66 OF 110 POINTS

SUSTA	AINABLE SITES	11 OF 26
SSc1	LEED Certified Design and Construction	0 / 4
SSc2	Building Exterior and Hardscape Mgmt Plan	0 / 1
SSc3	Integrated Pest Mgmt, Erosion Control, and Landscape MgmtPlan	0 / 1
SSc4	Alternative Commuting Transportation	10 / 15
SSc5	Site Development-Protect or Restore Open Habitat	0 / 1
SSc6	Stormwater Quantity Control	0 / 1
SSc7.1	Heat Island Reduction-Non-Roof	1/1
SSc7.2	Heat Island Reduction-Roof	0 / 1
SSc8	Light Pollution Reduction	0 / 1
MATE	REFFICIENCY	11 OF 14
)		
	Minimum Indoor Plumbing Fixture and Fitting Efficiency	Y
	Water Performance Measurement	1/2
	Additional Indoor Plumbing Fixture and Fitting Efficiency	5/5
	Water Efficient Landscaping	5/5
	1 Cooling Tower Water Mgmt-Chemical Mgmt	0 / 1
WEc4.	2Cooling Tower Water Mgmt-Non-Potable Water Source Use	0 / 1
ENERG	SY AND ATMOSPHERE	22 OF 35
EAp1	Energy Efficiency Best Mgmt Practices-	Y
_	Minimum Energy Efficiency Performance	Y
EAp3	Fundamental Refrigerant Mgmt	Y
EAc1	Optimize Energy Efficiency Performance	12 / 18
EAc2.1	Existing Building Commissioning-Investigation and Analysis	2/2
EAc2.2	Existing Building Commissioning-Implementation	2/2
EAc2.3	B Existing Building Commissioning-Ongoing Commissioning	0 / 2
EAc3.1	Performance Measurement-Building Automation System	0 / 1
	Performance Measurement-System-Level Metering	0 / 2
EAc4	On-site and Off-site Renewable Energy	6 / 6
EAc5	Enhanced Refrigerant Mgmt	0 / 1
	Emissions Reduction Reporting	0 / 1
	RIALS AND RESOURCES	6 OF 10
	Sustainable Purchasing Policy Solid Waste Martt Policy	Y
	Solid Waste Mgmt Policy	0/1
	Sustainable Purchasing-Ongoing Consumables	1/1
	1 Sustainable Purchasing Electric-Powered Equipment	1/1
	2Sustainable Purchasing-Furniture	
	Sustainable Purchasing-Facility Alterations and Additions	1/1
	Sustainable Purchasing-Reduced Mercury in Lamps	0/1
	Sustainable Purchasing-Food Solid Waste Mgmt-Waste Stream Audit	1/1

MATERIALS AND RESOURCES CON	NTINUED
MRc7 Solid Waste Mgmt-Ongoing Consumables	2 / 1
MRc8 Solid Waste Mgmt-Durable Goods	0 / 1
MRc9 Solid Waste Mgmt-Facility Alterations and Additions	0 / 1
INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	8 OF 15
IEQp1 Minimum IAQ Performance	Υ
IEQp2 Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	Υ
IEQp3 Green Cleaning Policy	Υ
IEQc1.1 IAQ Best Mgmt Practices-IAQMgmt Program	0 / :
IEQc1.2 IAQ Best Mgmt Practices-Outdoor Air DeliveryMonitoring	0 / :
IEQc1.3 IAQ Best Mgmt Practices-Increased Ventilation	0 / :
IEQc1.4 IAQ Best Mgmt Practices-Reduce Particulates in AirDistribution	1/
IEQc1.5 IAQ Mgmt Plan-IAQ Mgmt forFacility Alterations and Additions	0 / :
IEQc2.1 Occupant Comfort-Occupant Survey	1/
IEQc2.2Controllability of Systems-Lighting	1/
IEQc2.3Occupant Comfort-Thermal Comfort Monitoring	0 / :
IEQc2.4Daylight and Views	0 / :
IEQc3.1 Green Cleaning-High Performance Cleaning Program	1/
IEQc3.2Green Cleaning-Custodial Effectiveness Assessment	1/
IEQc3.3Green Cleaning-Sustainable Cleaning Products and Materials Purchases	1/
IEQc3.4Green Cleaning-Sustainable Cleaning Equipment	1/
IEQc3.5Green Cleaning-Indoor Chemical and Pollutant Source Control	1/
IEQc3.6Green Cleaning-Indoor Integrated Pest Mgmt	0/
INNOVATION IN OPERATIONS	5 OF 6
IOc1.1 Innovation in Operations	1/1
IOc1.2 Innovation in Operations	1/1
IOc1.3 Innovation in Operations	1/1
IOc1.4 Innovation in Operations	1/1
IOc2 LEED® Accredited Professional	1/1
IOc3 Documenting Sustainable Building Cost Impacts	0 / 1
REGIONAL PRIORITY CREDITS	3 OF 4
SSc4 Alternative Commuting Transportation	1/
SSc6 Stormwater Quantity Control	0 / '
	1/
WEc2 Additional Indoor Plumbing Fixture and Fitting Efficiency	
WEc2 Additional Indoor Plumbing Fixture and Fitting Efficiency EAc1 Optimize Energy Efficiency Performance	0 / '
	0 / 1

CREDIT DETAILS

Credit POINTS:

STATUS POSSIBLE ATTEMPTED DENIED PENDING AWARDED



Project Information Forms

0

PIf1: Minimum Program Requirements

Approved

02/19/2013 STANDARD PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Project Information Form has been provided stating that the project complies with all Minimum Program Requirements. The form has been initialed by a Project Team Member. The project is located in Maryville, Tennessee.

However, the required signatory for this form is the Owner, but the form has been initialed by Bruce Guillaume, a Project Team Member (from Mountain Challenge). It is unclear that this individual is a qualified Owner of this project, as the individual who initialed the form appears to work for the Mountain Challenge, but the Owner of the project is Maryville College.

TECHNICAL ADVICE:

Please provide a revised LEED Project Information Form which has been signed by the project Owner Primary Contact.

If the project team wishes to designate an Agent to complete the Owner Required Signatories on behalf of the Owner Primary Contact, please see the Required Signatory and Common Issues with Owner Information in LEED Online sections of the LEED Online Help Content for additional information including how to request changes to the project Owner Information. Ensure that all necessary documentation, as outlined in the Help Content and based on the chosen compliance path, is provided within the Special Circumstances section of this form for the Final Review.

04/09/2013 STANDARD FINAL REVIEW

The LEED Project Information Form has been revised to address the issues outlined in the Preliminary Review comments and includes a clarification narrative indicating that Bruce Guillaume is the Owner Agent. A fully executed Confirmation of Agents Authority Form has been provided, along with a copy of email correspondence with GBCI. The documentation demonstrates form compliance.

PIf2: Project Summary Details	Approved
Plf3: Occupant and Usage Data	Approved
Plf4: Schedule and Overview Documents	Approved
Plf5: Previously LEED Certified Details	Approved

		26	12	1	0
SSc1: LEED Certified Design and Construction	Not Attempted	4			
SSc2: Building Exterior and Hardscape Management Plan	Not Attempted	1			
SSc3: Integrated Pest Management, Erosion Control, and Landscape ManagementPlan	Not Attempted	1			
SSc4: Alternative Commuting Transportation	Awarded	15	11	1	0
02/19/2013 STANDARD PRELIMINARY REVIEW					
The LEED Credit Form has been provided stating that the commuting trips. The form indicates that the project part with SCAQMD procedures. Supporting documentation in describing the data collection methodology and protoco However, it is unclear that the appropriate number of oci indicates that the project building has two full-time emplooccupants), but the SSc4 calculations indicate that the bi	icipated in an informal con cludes a summary of empl is used. Copies of the surv cupants has been surveye byees and three part-time	nmute reduction to oyee commuting reys have been produced. The PIf3 Occup employees (for a	racking progra survey data an rovided. pant and Usage	m in accor d a narrati Data form	ve
TECHNICAL ADVICE:	3	,			
Please revise the calculations to list the correct number of that regular building occupants are defined as workers were supported to the correct number of the correct number o			tation or typica		а
minimum of ten hours per week in the project building. N employees may not qualify as regular building occupants		rmation specified	under Plf3, the	e part-time	
		rmation specified	under Plf3, the	e part-time	!
employees may not qualify as regular building occupants	ssues outlined in the Prelii ts for the correct number of titve have been provided.	ninary Review co of regular building The calculations i	mments and in occupants. Th ndicate that th	cludes a n e results c e project t	arrative of the
employees may not qualify as regular building occupants 04/09/2013 STANDARD FINAL REVIEW The LEED Credit Form has been revised to address the indicating that a new survey was conducted that account new survey, revised calculations, and a clarification narra	ssues outlined in the Prelii ts for the correct number of stive have been provided. uting trips. The documenta at the days on which part- nted as trips avoided due to	minary Review co of regular building The calculations i tition demonstrate time workers did o a compressed v nat the project bui	mments and in- occupants. Th ndicate that the s credit compli not work were work week. Rev Iding achieved	cludes a n e results c e project b ance. counted a vising the a 45.45%	arrative of the puilding s absent
employees may not qualify as regular building occupants 04/09/2013 STANDARD FINAL REVIEW The LEED Credit Form has been revised to address the i indicating that a new survey was conducted that account new survey, revised calculations, and a clarification narra has achieved a 52.00% reduction in conventional commit Please note that although the form narrative indicates the days, the calculations indicate that these days were courcalculations to appropriately account for part-time worke reduction in conventional commuting trips. For future sulficients	ssues outlined in the Prelii ts for the correct number of stive have been provided. uting trips. The documenta at the days on which part- nted as trips avoided due to	minary Review co of regular building The calculations i tition demonstrate time workers did o a compressed v nat the project bui	mments and in- occupants. Th ndicate that the s credit compli not work were work week. Rev Iding achieved	cludes a n e results c e project b ance. counted a vising the a 45.45%	arrative of the puilding s absent
employees may not qualify as regular building occupants 04/09/2013 STANDARD FINAL REVIEW The LEED Credit Form has been revised to address the i indicating that a new survey was conducted that account new survey, revised calculations, and a clarification narra has achieved a 52.00% reduction in conventional community. Please note that although the form narrative indicates the days, the calculations indicate that these days were count calculations to appropriately account for part-time worked reduction in conventional commuting trips. For future sufficient in conventional commuting trips. SSc5: Site Development-Protect or Restore Open	ssues outlined in the Prelii ts for the correct number of ative have been provided. uting trips. The documenta at the days on which part- nted as trips avoided due to a absenteeism indicates the comittals, ensure that days	minary Review co of regular building The calculations i tition demonstrate time workers did o a compressed o nat the project bui on which part-time	mments and in- occupants. Th ndicate that the s credit compli not work were work week. Rev Iding achieved	cludes a n e results c e project b ance. counted a vising the a 45.45%	arrative of the puilding s absent
employees may not qualify as regular building occupants 04/09/2013 STANDARD FINAL REVIEW The LEED Credit Form has been revised to address the i indicating that a new survey was conducted that account new survey, revised calculations, and a clarification narra has achieved a 52.00% reduction in conventional commit Please note that although the form narrative indicates the days, the calculations indicate that these days were courcalculations to appropriately account for part-time worked reduction in conventional commuting trips. For future sulficient counted as absent days. SSc5: Site Development-Protect or Restore Open Habitat	ssues outlined in the Prelii ts for the correct number of ative have been provided. uting trips. The documenta at the days on which part- nted as trips avoided due to a basenteeism indicates the comittals, ensure that days Not Attempted Not	minary Review co of regular building The calculations i tition demonstrate time workers did o a compressed v nat the project bui on which part-time	mments and in- occupants. Th ndicate that the s credit compli not work were work week. Rev Iding achieved	cludes a n e results c e project b ance. counted a vising the a 45.45%	arrative of the puilding s absent
employees may not qualify as regular building occupants 04/09/2013 STANDARD FINAL REVIEW The LEED Credit Form has been revised to address the indicating that a new survey was conducted that account new survey, revised calculations, and a clarification narrathas achieved a 52.00% reduction in conventional community. Please note that although the form narrative indicates the days, the calculations indicate that these days were councalculations to appropriately account for part-time worker freduction in conventional commuting trips. For future sufficient as absent days. SSc5: Site Development-Protect or Restore Open Habitat SSc6: Stormwater Quantity Control	ssues outlined in the Prelii ts for the correct number of ative have been provided. The documenta at the days on which part- inted as trips avoided due to a basenteeism indicates the comittals, ensure that days Not Attempted Not Attempted	minary Review co of regular building The calculations i tion demonstrate time workers did to o a compressed v and the project bui on which part-time	mments and in occupants. Th ndicate that the s credit compli not work were work week. Rev Iding achieved e workers did r	cludes a ne results ce project to ance. counted a vising the a 45.45% not work a	arrative of the puilding s absent

Water Efficiency 14 11 0 0 11

Energy and Atmosphere

35

20

)

22

EAp1: Energy Efficiency Best Management Practices-Planning, Documentation, and Opportunity Assessment

Awarded

03/01/2013 STANDARD PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Prerequisite Form has been provided stating that a building operating plan, a sequence of operations, and a preventive maintenance program have been implemented at the project building. In addition, the form states that an ASHRAE Level I Walk-Through Analysis has been conducted during the performance period. The submitted documentation includes a building operating plan, a systems narrative describing the mechanical and electrical systems, excerpts from the current sequence of operations for the building, documentation describing the preventive maintenance program in place at the project building, and the results of the ASHRAE Level I Walk-Through Analysis. Additionally, completed work order reports and an electricity summary have been provided.

However, five issues are pending:

- 1. The building operating plan indicates that the weekend schedule for the building is "NA", but the building operating plan is required to include operating conditions for each of the eight day types (Monday-Friday, Saturdays, Sundays, and Holidays). If the building is not open on the weekend, the building operating plan should indicate that the building is closed and identify any unique operating conditions. Note that page 7 of the ASHRAE Level 1 and 2 report indicates that the building is closed on weekends and holidays except for 2 hours on Saturday; ensure that information reported in the BOP is current and accurate.
- 2. Although the form narrative indicates that the programmable thermostats are regularly checked, the narrative does not summarize the methods used to implement the building operating plan and the checks performed to verify proper ongoing implementation.

 Ensure that each operational element noted in the building operating plan (temperature control, HVAC systems, lighting, etc.) is briefly addressed in the form narrative.
- 3. The preventive maintenance plan is inadequate because the schedule and frequency of maintenance is unclear for the domestic hot water, lighting, and photovoltaic systems. Note that the documentation does outline that monthly HVAC maintenance is required.
- 4. The breakdown of energy by end use has been broken down based on fuel type, rather than major end uses. The major end uses include lighting, plug loads, heating, HVAC, etc.
- 5. The summary of findings relating to the generation of the project building site Energy Utilization Index (EUI) is incomplete, as it does not identify a target index and the potential cost savings that might be met by achieving the target index. Note that the target index identified on page 8 is not an improved index and does not account for potential cost savings.

TECHNICAL ADVICE:

- 1. Please revise the building operating plan to include the operating schedule for the weekends for the project building. If the building is closed, ensure that the plan indicates that the building is closed.
- 2. Revise the form narrative to clearly summarize the methods used to implement the building operating plan and the checks performed to verify proper ongoing implementation.
- 3. Provide a revised preventive maintenance plan or supplemental documentation to identify a schedule for maintenance and a description of all preventive tasks for each equipment type listed in the systems narrative.
- 4. Provide a breakdown of total project building annual energy consumption by major end uses or applications. The end use breakdown may take the form of a data table or a graphical summary. Note that a breakdown of energy consumption by fuel type does not satisfy this requirement. Note that submetering is not required to document this breakdown; estimates are acceptable to meet prerequisite requirements.
- 5. Provide a supplement to the Energy Utilization Index analysis that quantifies the potential cost savings that might be realized by enhancing energy efficiency to achieve the target index, as directed by the Level I Analysis. Note that a target index can be established within the ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager tool.

Please note the following:

- 1. The sequence of operations document for the air conditioner does not exactly describe the sequence of operations. As this operations of this building appear to be simple, prerequisite compliance is not affected. For future submittals, ensure that the sequence of operations documentation clearly outlines the required information.
- 2. The list of potential low-cost/no-cost energy efficiency and conservation upgrades and programmatic changes does not specify the energy demand savings, energy cost savings (consumption + demand), and maintenance cost savings resulting from these improvements. As both measures noted in this prerequisite have been implemented under EAc2.2 Existing Building Commissioning: Implementation, prerequisite compliance is not affected. For future submittals, ensure that all required details are provided for the low-cost and no-cost measures.

04/09/2013 STANDARD FINAL REVIEW

The LEED Prerequisite Form has been revised to address the issues outlined in the Preliminary Review comments and summarizes the methods used to implement the building operating plan and the checks performed to verify proper ongoing implementation. The building operating plan has been revised and includes the operating schedule for the weekends. A revised preventive maintenance plan has been provided that identifies the maintenance schedule and describes the preventive maintenance tasks for each equipment type listed in the systems narrative. Additionally, a breakdown of total project building annual energy consumption by major end use has been provided. The ASHRAE audit report has been revised and includes a target energy use intensity (EUI). A clarification narrative further outlines the target EUI and the associated cost savings. A revised list of potential low-cost/no-cost energy efficiency and conservation upgrades and programmatic changes has been provided specifying the energy savings, energy cost savings, and maintenance cost savings resulting from these improvements. The documentation demonstrates prerequisite compliance.

EAp2: Minimum Energy Efficiency Performance	Awarded					
EAp3: Fundamental Refrigerant Management	Awarded					
EAc1: Optimize Energy Efficiency Performance	Awarded	18	11	0	0	
EAc2.1: Existing Building Commissioning- Investigation and Analysis	Awarded	2	2	0	0	
EAc2.2: Existing Building Commissioning- Implementation	Awarded	2	2	0	0	
EAc2.3: Existing Building Commissioning-Ongoing Commissioning	Not Attempted	2				
EAc3.1: Performance Measurement-Building Automation System	Not Attempted	1				
EAc3.2: Performance Measurement-System-Level Metering	Not Attempted	2				
EAc4: On-site and Off-site Renewable Energy	Awarded	6	5	0	0	
EAc5: Enhanced Refrigerant Management	Not Attempted	1				
EAc6: Emissions Reduction Reporting	Not Attempted	1				

Materials and Resources		10	6	0	0	
MRp1: Sustainable Purchasing Policy	Awarded					
MRp2: Solid Waste Management Policy	Awarded					
MRc1: Sustainable Purchasing-Ongoing Consumables	Not Attempted	1				
MRc2.1: Sustainable Purchasing Electric-Powered Equipment	Awarded	1	1	0	0	
MRc2.2: Sustainable Purchasing-Furniture	Awarded	1	1	0	0	
MRc3: Sustainable Purchasing-Facility Alterations and Additions	Awarded	1	1	0	0	
MRc4: Sustainable Purchasing-Reduced Mercury in Lamps	Not Attempted	1				
MRc5: Sustainable Purchasing-Food	Not Attempted	1				
MRc6: Solid Waste Management-Waste Stream Audit	Awarded	1	1	0	0	
MRc7: Solid Waste Management-Ongoing Consumables	Awarded	1	2	0	0	
MRc8: Solid Waste Management-Durable Goods	Not Attempted	1				
MRc9: Solid Waste Management-Facility	Not Attempted	1				

Indoor Environmental Quality 15 8 0 0 8

Innovation in Operations 6 5 0 0 5

Regional priority 4 4 3

Page 11 of 14

TOTAL	110	66	1	0	66	ı
						П

REVIEW SUMMARY

Review	SUBMITTED	RETURNED	POINTS: SUBMITTED	DENIED	PENDING	AWARDED	
O and M Preliminary	01/24/2013	03/01/2013	64	0	21	37	

Page 13 of 14

O and M Final	03/25/2013 04/19/2013	29	1	0	66	